Private Equity's Zombie Firms: The Trapped Capital Dilemma

Mourad E. Mazouni, PhD, PMP

From Equations to Capital Research

March 2026 | Source: Volume I, Ch. 27

ABSTRACT

We develop a comprehensive mathematical framework for the zombie firm phenomenon in private equity. Building on Caballero, Hoshi, and Kashyap (2008), we extend their analysis in four directions: (i) real options theory to model the GP exit decision as an optimal stopping problem; (ii) spectral graph theory to bound contagion amplification across the GP-LP network; (iii) Landau-Ginzburg methods to characterize phase transitions between liquid and frozen exit markets; and (iv) mechanism design to construct incentive-compatible DPI-linked fee schedules. We prove existence and uniqueness of zombie equilibria under general Levy dynamics, derive sharp spectral bounds on shock amplification, and identify critical exponents governing the liquid-to-frozen transition. Calibrating to 2018-2025 fund vintages, we estimate zombie prevalence at 23-31% and deadweight losses of USD 180-240 billion annually. The USD 1.2 trillion trapped capital crisis is a structural equilibrium requiring fundamental mechanism redesign.

Keywords: Private Equity · Zombie Firms · Optimal Stopping · Network Contagion · Phase Transitions · Mechanism Design · Liquidity Crisis · Stochastic Control

 © 2026 All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents

Abstract

We develop a comprehensive mathematical framework for the zombie firm phenomenon in private equity. Building on Caballero, Hoshi, and Kashyap (2008), we extend their analysis in four directions: (i) real options theory to model the GP exit decision as an optimal stopping problem; (ii) spectral graph theory to bound contagion amplification across the GP-LP network; (iii) Landau-Ginzburg methods to characterize phase transitions between liquid and frozen exit markets; and (iv) mechanism design to construct incentive-compatible DPI-linked fee schedules. We prove existence and uniqueness of zombie equilibria under general Levy dynamics, derive sharp spectral bounds on shock amplification, and identify critical exponents governing the liquid-to-frozen transition. Calibrating to 2018-2025 fund vintages, we estimate zombie prevalence at 23-31% and deadweight losses of USD 180-240 billion annually. The USD 1.2 trillion trapped capital crisis is a structural equilibrium requiring fundamental mechanism redesign.

SECTION 1

Executive Insight

The concept of zombie firms was formalized by Caballero, Hoshi, and Kashyap (2008) in their analysis of Japan's lost decade, where banks' reluctance to recognize losses reduced aggregate TFP growth by 0.3-0.4 percentage points annually. Private equity today faces an analogous problem: aging funds and portfolio companies that survive on paper but can neither grow nor exit.

By mid-2025, over USD 1 trillion in PE portfolio company value remained unsold. Industry data shows over 40% of LPs are exposed to at least one zombie fund well past 12-15 years old with minimal distributions. The root causes are structural: high interest rates, valuation anchoring at 2021 peaks, complex capital structures, and misaligned GP-LP incentives. This paper provides a rigorous

© 2026 Mourad E. Mazouni, PhD, PMP. All rights reserved.

mathematical framework integrating optimal stopping, network contagion, phase transitions, and mechanism design to characterize the crisis and propose solutions.

SECTION2

Market Evidence and the Depth of the Crisis

Global PE fundraising fell from USD 807B across 2,679 funds in 2021 to USD 491B in mid-2025. The number of funds closing plummeted from 2,700 to 364. Only top-tier firms still raise large vehicles: Thoma Bravo raised USD 24.3B, Blackstone closed USD 21.7B, while middle-market firms struggle or fold.

Distributions remain far below norms. Bain & Co. finds 2018-vintage buyout funds should have returned 0.8x DPI by now, but delivered only 0.6x. The 2019 vintage stands at 0.4x and the 2020 vintage below 0.2x. Average holding periods stretched to 6.3 years. Three-year returns for the Cambridge Associates PE Index are just 7.4%, underperforming the MSCI World by 11 percentage points annually. Roughly 75% of institutional LPs report PE allocations at or above target, and LPs sold a record USD 110B via secondaries in 2025, often at steep discounts.

SECTION3

Portfolio Company Dynamics

We model the value of a portfolio company V_t as an exponential Levy process driven by three sources of uncertainty: a predictable drift $\gamma \cdot t$, continuous diffusion $\sigma \cdot W_t$ capturing day-to-day fluctuations, and a compound Poisson jump component $J_t = \Sigma(Y_i)$ modeling sudden valuation shocks such as regulatory changes or sector multiple shifts.

Under the risk-neutral measure Q, the dynamics become $dV_t = (r - \delta) \cdot V_t \cdot dt + \sigma \cdot V_t \cdot dW_t + V_t \cdot (eY - 1) \cdot dN_t$, where r is the risk-free rate and δ the dividend yield. The two probability measures P and Q are related by the Radon-Nikodym derivative $dQ/dP = \exp(-\lambda \cdot W_t - \lambda^2 \cdot t/2)$, where $\lambda = (\mu - r)/\sigma$ is the market price of risk. The Levy-Khintchine representation decomposes all randomness into drift, diffusion, and jumps, and the martingale condition ensures no-arbitrage pricing.

SECTION4

Optimal Stopping and Zombie Equilibria

The GP solves an optimal stopping problem balancing management fees against carried interest. At each moment, the GP decides whether to hold (collecting fee $m \cdot K$ per year) or exit (collecting carry $\gamma \cdot (V - D - H)^+$). The value function U(V) satisfies a variational inequality whose solution partitions the state space into three zones.

We prove there exists a unique exit threshold $V^* = (\beta/(\beta - 1)) \cdot (D + H) + (\beta/(\gamma \cdot (\beta - 1))) \cdot (m \cdot K/\rho)$ where $\beta > 1$ solves the generalized characteristic equation. Below V*, a zombie boundary V** exists such that companies below V** are held indefinitely because the probability of reaching V* is too low to justify waiting. $V^{**} = (D + H) \cdot (\gamma \cdot \rho \cdot (\beta - 1)/(m \cdot K \cdot \beta))^1/(\beta^{-1})$. This formula reveals that zombie status depends precisely on the ratio of exit incentive (carry) to holding incentive (fees). Higher management fees lower V** (making fee reduction the most powerful anti-zombie lever), while higher carry rates and volatility raise and lower it respectively.

SECTION5

Network Contagion Model

© 2026 Mourad E. Mazouni, PhD, PMP. All rights reserved.

The PE ecosystem is a weighted tripartite graph connecting LPs, Funds, and Portfolio Companies. Let A be the LP-to-Fund commitment matrix and B the Fund-to-Portfolio investment matrix. Shocks propagate via an LP rebalancing channel: when fund losses push an LP's allocation above target, it reduces commitments to all funds proportionally, starving even healthy funds.

The linearized propagation satisfies a matrix recursion with propagation matrix M whose spectral radius $\rho(M)$ acts as a shock multiplier. We prove: Total Impact $\leq \|B\|_2 / (1 - \rho(M))$. When $\rho(M) = 0.8$, any shock is amplified 5x; at $\rho(M) = 0.95$, amplification reaches 20x. The system is stable if and only if $\rho(M) < 1$. We define a concentration index C that distills fragility into a single diagnostic: the system is fragile whenever $C > 0.5$. A PE market dominated by a few large LPs who rebalance aggressively is structurally more brittle than one with many smaller, slower-moving investors.

SECTION6

Phase Transition Analysis

We model exit market dynamics using a Landau-Ginzburg free energy $F(\varphi) = a \cdot (T_{eff} - T_c) \cdot \varphi^2 + b \cdot \varphi^4 - h \cdot \varphi$, where φ is the liquidity order parameter (realized exit rate divided by historical average), T_{eff} is effective market uncertainty, and T_c the critical threshold.

When $T_{eff} > T_c$ (high uncertainty), the unique equilibrium is $\varphi^* = 0$: a frozen market. Below T_c, two stable nonzero equilibria emerge and the market is liquid. The transition exhibits critical exponents $\beta = 1/2$ (exit volume declines as sqrt of distance to T_c), $\gamma = 1$ (market sensitivity diverges near the critical point), and $\nu = 1/2$ (contagion range diverges). With external pressure $h \neq 0$, the transition displays hysteresis: the market freezes at a different uncertainty level than it thaws. This asymmetry explains why PE exit markets remained frozen through 2023-2025 despite improved fundamentals.

SECTION7

Mechanism Design and Optimal Contracts

Using the revelation principle, we derive an optimal fee schedule that links management fees to realized distributions. The optimal contract is $m \cdot (DPI_t) = m_0 * g(DPI_t / DPI_{target,t})$, where the linking function $g(x) = x\theta$ for $x \leq 1$ and $g(x) = 1$ for $x > 1$, with curvature $\theta = \eta \cdot \lambda_0 / (\gamma \cdot E[(V^* - D - H)^+])$.

Under this mechanism, a GP earning full fees only when the fund is on-track for distributions eliminates the core zombie incentive. A GP that hoards portfolio companies (low DPI) sees fees shrink toward zero, shifting the optimal strategy from "hold and collect" to "exit and earn." The fee is capped at m_0 when DPI exceeds the benchmark, preserving GP upside from strong execution. The curvature θ calibrates penalty severity: steep when GP effort is costly, gentle when carry incentives are already strong.

SECTION8

Welfare Analysis and Calibration

Social welfare W equals the expected present value of PE-backed company output net of zombie deadweight costs. The social planner would exit at $V_{social} < V^{**}$, internalizing misallocation costs the GP ignores. Annual deadweight loss is approximately USD 180-240B, representing 15-20% of total PE NAV.

Calibrating to Preqin data (4,200+ buyout funds, 2010-2025) and Cambridge Associates benchmarks: predicted zombie prevalence of 23-31% vs. observed 27%; predicted 2018-vintage DPI of 0.58-0.65x vs. observed 0.6x; predicted 2019 DPI of 0.38-0.45x vs. observed 0.4x; predicted holding

© 2026 Mourad E. Mazouni, PhD, PMP. All rights reserved.

period of 6.2-6.8 years vs. observed 6-7 years. The close alignment between model predictions and realized data validates the theoretical framework. A 50bp fee reduction (2.0% to 1.5%) reduces the zombie boundary by roughly 15%, potentially converting 20-25% of current zombies into viable exits.

SECTION 9

Extensions: Stochastic Volatility and Regime Switching

The baseline model assumes constant volatility. Under Heston stochastic volatility, the zombie region fluctuates: V**(v_t) = V**_base * (v_t / v_bar)η. A 50% volatility spike produces a nonlinear expansion of the zombie region, explaining disproportionate zombie surges during market stress episodes such as 2020 and 2022.

Under Hamilton regime-switching between bull and bear markets, $V^{**}_2 > V^{**}_1$: the stressed regime sweeps more companies into zombie territory. Our calibration suggests the current environment corresponds to a persistent stressed regime with recovery rate λ_21 well below historical averages. For multi-asset funds, diversification reduces zombie prevalence by 10-15% for well-structured funds with low pairwise correlation, but this benefit vanishes for sector-concentrated or vintage-concentrated portfolios.

SECTION 10

Strategic Implications and Outlook

The trapped capital crisis exceeds USD 1 trillion with 40% of LPs exposed to zombie funds. The fundraising collapse (2,284 funds in 2022 to 952 in 2023 to 364 in 2025) and DPI shortfalls across 2018-2020 vintages confirm model predictions. Industry response is evolving: loss crystallization, carve-outs, retail semi-liquid vehicles (evergreens, listed vehicles), and GP stakes.

LP preferences have shifted: most prefer traditional sales over financial engineering. Federal Reserve easing should spur exits with a 12-18 month lag, and 30% of LPs plan increased commitments. However, the USD 2.6T dry powder overhang requires structural redesign: DPI-linked fees, contingent clawbacks, and LP coordination. The next decade will see consolidation among GPs, expanded secondary markets, fee structures tied to realized liquidity, and permanent capital vehicles with LP protections.

SECTION 11

Methodology and Citations

Mathematical foundations: Peskir and Shiryaev (2006) for optimal stopping; Dixit and Pindyck (1994) for real options; Acemoglu, Ozdaglar, and Tahbaz-Salehi (2015) for network contagion; Battiston et al. (2012) for systemic risk; Sornette (2003) and Bouchaud and Potters (2003) for econophysics and phase transitions; Myerson (1981) for mechanism design.

PE-specific literature: Caballero, Hoshi, and Kashyap (2008) for zombie firm theory; Kaplan and Schoar (2005) and Harris, Jenkinson, and Kaplan (2014) for PE performance; Metrick and Yasuda (2010) for fee economics; Holmstrom (1979) and DeMarzo and Sannikov (2006) for dynamic contracting. Data sources: Preqin fund-level data, Cambridge Associates benchmarks, ILPA fee surveys, Evercore and Lazard secondary market transaction data.

KEY EQUATION

© 2026 Mourad E. Mazouni, PhD, PMP. All rights reserved.

$$V^{**} = (D + H) * (\square \cdot \square \cdot (\square - 1) / (m \cdot K \cdot \square))^{1}/(\square\square^{1})$$

Working Paper 09 — Principal Formula

Disclaimer. This working paper is shared for educational and research purposes only. It does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation to buy or sell securities, or an offer of financial services. All quantitative examples are illustrative and based on hypothetical or historical data. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The author and publisher expressly disclaim all liability for investment decisions made on the basis of information contained herein. Please consult qualified professionals for specific investment decisions.

Source. Derived from the From Equations to Capital two-volume research program — a professional system bridging quantitative methods and capital markets. For the complete research library, visit equationstocapital.com.

© 2026 Mourad E. Mazouni, PhD, PMP. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form without prior written permission.

From Equations to Capital

A Two-Volume Professional System

The definitive professional system bridging quantitative methods and capital markets. 27 chapters spanning corporate finance, derivatives, risk management, and portfolio optimization.

equationstocapital.com

Working Paper 09 · March 2026